

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Mohammed Moin Hussaini 146128CT 3782 10/709,783 05/27/2004 EXAMINER 23413 7590 01/03/2006 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP HORWAT, JENNIFER A 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 3737

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SN
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/709,783	HUSSAINI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer Horwat	3737
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the provision of the prov	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n.  eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  Treply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	27 May 2004.	
·— · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alle		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	•	· •
Disposition of Claims		
· <u> </u>	Alam.	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica		•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	idiawii iloili consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement	
of the stable of	naror election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 May 2004</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received in A	Application No
	priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	phoney documents have been	
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	•	

1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/04 6/1/04. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/709,783 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements filed on 5/27/2004 and 6/1/2004 are in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97-1.98 and all references therein have been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acker (US 6580938). Acker discloses a method and system for image guidance of a probe wherein a plurality of images are acquired, in one embodiment by CT, at a selected respiratory state (col 7, lines 60-66) on which entry and target positions may be displayed along with a trajectory computed between the two (figure 1, elements A', T, and 66). The probe is advanced when the patient is in the selected respiratory state (col 3, line 50), which is determined when motion due to respiration is within a predetermined tolerance of the desired respiratory state (col 10, lines 3-4). It is inherent

Application/Control Number: 10/709,783 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

in the use of a CT system that the patient is moved into the system and cross-sectional images are obtained during the movement.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 6, 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acker in view of Stoianovici, et al (US 2004/0162486). Acker, as discussed above, discloses a manual system for image guidance of a probe and fails to disclose using the method with under computer assistance. Stoianovici discloses a system related to robotic devices used in computer-assisted surgery. The system is coupled with a percutaneous access of the kidney (PAKY) needle driver wherein the computer assisted surgery robot aligns the needle (paragraph 30) and the needle is then driven along the trajectory calculated between the target and skin entry points (paragraphs 41 and 65) selected by the user. As the movement of the needle is determined by the hardware controlling the PAKY needle driver system, the speed and movement of the needle is inherently predetermined by the computer and sent to the robot moving the needle (figure 1). It is inherent that the computer assisted surgery system and the image guidance system have the required code on computer readable medium that control the operations of the systems previously discussed. It would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3737

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device disclosed by

Acker with the disclosure of Stoianovici as the alignment and insertion of the needle will

be more accurate than if done freehand as in the system disclosed by Acker.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acker and Stoianovici as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Schweikard, et al (US 6144875). The system disclosed by Acker uses external field transducers to monitor the respiration state of the patient and does not explicitly disclose the use of infrared to monitor respiration. Schweikard discloses an apparatus and a method for compensating for respiratory and patient motion during treatment using infrared to track external markers (col 6, line 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the disclosures of Acker and Schweikard with the teachings of the reference by Schweikard, as infrared detectors are prevalent in the imaging art and inexpensive and easy to use.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yanof, et al, Mills, et al, and Landi, et al disclose devices of note.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Horwat whose telephone number is (571) 272-2811. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,783 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jah 12/9/2005

LEN MANTIS-MERCADER
PRIMARY EXAMINER